

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

REV. RYAN "SASHA" GALLAGHER,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
UTTAH DHILLION, <i>Director of the Drug</i>	)	
<i>Enforcement Administration, et al.,</i>	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	Civil Action No. 3:18-CV-2801-C-BH

**ORDER**

Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge therein advising that Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed as frivolous and for failing to state a claim.<sup>1</sup>

The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).


After due consideration and having conducted a *de novo* review, the Court finds that Plaintiff's objections should be **OVERRULED**. The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation are hereby

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation on May 16, 2020. *See* Doc. 104.

**ADOPTED** as the findings and conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated therein, Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice as frivolous and for failing to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

SO ORDERED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2020.



---

SAM R. CUMMINGS  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE